ISSN: 3048-6181 www.wjphr.org 2026. Volume 3. Issue 4. Page: 01-11.

World Journal of
Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Research

Research Article

Impact Factor 5.429

A COMPOSITE FUNCTION ON THE INTEGRATION OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT AND CARE: TARGETING SEPSIS FOR QUALITY OF LIFE AND MITIGATION OF MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY

Dr Chrysanthus Chukwuma Sr*

The Executive Director, The Chrysanthus Centre for Future-oriented Studies Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

Article Info
Article Received: 05 May 2026,
Article Revised: 25 May 2026,
Published on: 01 June 2026.

***Corresponding author:**

Dr Chrysanthus Chukwuma Sr

The Executive Director, The Chrysanthus Centre for Future-oriented Studies Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria
chukwumasr@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20502147

How to cite this Article:
Dr Chrysanthus Chukwuma Sr. (2026). A Composite Function on The Integration of Technology, Management and Care: Targeting Sepsis For Quality Of Life And Mitigation Of Morbidity And Mortality. World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Research, 3(4), 01–11.

ABSTRACT

The future of healthcare regarding sepsis and associated sequelae is inextricably linked on striking a premium balance between technological progress and human medical professionalism, forging collaborative smart models which leverage the complementarity in the potentialities of all parties for goal achievement and sustainability in diverse geopolitical spheres. This study adeptly encapsulates the global burden of sepsis, with a comprehensive narrative of current problems and innovative antidotes. The integration of technology, into sepsis management is pertinent, timely and adroit, with the potential for patient care evolution and scalability. The economic burden takes cognizance of the exerting and exacting need for systemic improvements in vulnerable populations and inequitable distribution of resources geopolitically. Integration of technology, specialized management of complex patients, and evidence-based protocols for sepsis may incessantly evolve and transform evidence-based diagnosis for critical illnesses via facilitating data interpretation, improvements of diagnostic accuracy, and accelerated prompt authentication using artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML) and other tools guided by specialists in the medical field, contextually in sepsis and sepsis-related disorders. The theme and contents of this review contextually represent and highlight a paradigm shift in health and healthcare delivery, providing unlimited opportunities and leverage on priorities in diagnosis, therapy individualisation, and supportive clinical decision which may be of benefit globally, especially in vulnerable populations. The convergence of digital utilisation and professional medical nuance enhance opportunities and priorities for earlier disease identification, greater specific target and drug therapy detection with pertinent patient monitoring and evaluation. As technical progress is proficient to establish sustainable outcomes in controlled settings, optimistic integration into complex healthcare ambients necessitate overcoming implementation barriers, restrictive regulatory structures, and economic burden and inequitable distribution of resources. Fundamental rights and privacy protection protocols and ethical compliance must evolve concomitantly and contemporaneously with technological potentialities for sustenance of trust and ensure confidentiality with the patient and family members.

KEYWORDS: Geopolitical, vulnerable populations, ethics, protocols, specialists, artificial intelligence, machine learning.

INTRODUCTION

Sepsis constitutes a life-threatening organ dysfunction whose aetiology is due to dysregulated host response from infection. Sepsis and septic shock are significant healthcare issues and challenges, affecting a vast proportion of the global population annually, with resultant mortality proportionate to those impacted inbetween.[1] Prompt realisation of the disease and pertinent management in the incipient period following the detection and development of sepsis pave the trajectories to improve outcomes. Although, there has been progress and improvements in sepsis care, glaring challenges and issues are extant. Antibiotic resistance, especially in multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens, obfuscates therapy and elevates mortality risk. An increase in carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae and Acinetobacter baumannii was detected in intensive care unit (ICU) patients presenting with sepsis, requiring nascent antimicrobial protocols to direct proper antibiotic usage.[2] Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (CRAB) is a priority-1 crucial

pathogen exhibiting restricted therapy alternatives and elevated mortality within intensive care unit (ICU) individuals. Italy depicted hyperendemic levels, whereas France reported low prevalence. Cognizance of cross-border disparities is pertinent to explicate infection prevention and control (IPC), and antibiotic stewardship trajectories. Furthermore, a principal issue is the variability in sepsis authentication and conformity to therapeutic guidelines.[3]

As a dysregulated inflammatory response to infection sepsis is capable of accelerated progression to organ failure and mortality. It is evident as a paramount aetiology of mortality in intensive care units globally. Irrespective of current clinical progress, its diagnosis remains elusive and daunting because of non-specific clinical presentations[4-6] and characterisations. Research into sepsis necessitates adopted varied strategies which must consistently and incessantly highlight the critical significance of an accelerated, diligent, pragmatic and adaptable clinical response to the disorder. Individualised therapy and management strategies, continual specialist training, and local context adaptation of clinical practices are pertinent in the improvement of outcomes in critically ill subjects. Rather than fixated one-size-fits-all model, an integrated and context-sensitive strategy, with adherence to evidence-based approach is critical and beneficial for effective, supportive and sustainable sepsis management.

This article addresses the multifactorial nature of sepsis from its biochemical intricacies and clinical presentations to management, need for early diagnosis, individual-specific therapy, and integrated AI-driven and digital tools, the global variance in sepsis threat, significance of evidence-based protocols, benefits of digital health technologies, wherein antimicrobial stewardship, variability and adaptability in sepsis global machinations and threat suggest resilience and readiness of healthcare systems to integrate innovations for care and quality of life.

The characteristics of sepsis as a scourge of the healthcare system

Globally, sepsis is one of the most ubiquitous aetiologies of mortality, however, there are extant challenges in authentic data collation at the population level.[7] A 2020 published data showed that there were 48.9 million cases and 11 million sepsis-associated mortalities globally, being 20% of all global mortalities.[8] About 50% (20 million) of all estimated sepsis cases globally involved children under 5 years of age. Among every 1000 hospitalized patients, an estimated 15 patients develop sepsis as a sequela of healthcare recipient. Globally, as sepsis can affect any person, remarkable regional variations in incidence and mortality occur with the highest rates in lower-middle-income countries (LMICs)[9] and vulnerable communities.[10,11] Sepsis is expensive; the average hospital-wide cost of sepsis has been estimated to go beyond US$ 32 000 per patient in high-income nations.[12] Applying a countrywide Japanese medical claims database, depicted that hospitals with higher expenditures were linked to a superior survival rate and a greater effective cost per survivor in sepsis patients than individuals with lower expenditures. Conversely, no correlations existed between hospital expenditure and mortality in hospitals with vast quantity of beds or academic incorporations.

Sepsis being a medical emergency with elevated mortality rates, requires encompassing care extending from early detection to patient rehabilitation. The sepsis survival chain inculcates early authentication, severity assessment and evaluation, emergency services activation, incipient antimicrobial treatment, haemodynamic stability, and integratice rehabilitation. These interactive trajectories are pertinent to mitigating morbidity and mortality. Irrespective of the progress in international guidelines and geopolitics, conformity is restricted, mounting to an excessive disease burden. More than its acute phase, post-sepsis syndrome (PSS) is characterised by protracted immune dysregulation, chronic inflammation, and metabolic failure, leading to recurrent infections, cardiovascular disorders, and abysmal neurocognitive deterioration. Mitochondrial dysfunction and epigenetic alterations play contribute to extensive immunosuppression, impairment of adaptive and innate immune responses. Sepsis-induced organ dysfunction affects numerous systems, such as the brain, heart, and kidneys. Within the brain, it is linked with neuroinflammation, blood-brain barrier impairment, and neurotoxic protein accumulation, culminating in acute and chronic cognitive dysfunction. Myocardial dysfunction concerns inflammatory mediators, such as TNF-α and IL-6, while sepsis-related acute kidney injury (SA-AKI) emanates from hypoperfusion and inflammation, enhancing the risk of progression to chronic kidney disorder. Furthermorr, immune changes, such as neutrophil impairment, incessant platelet activation, and inhibited antitumoral responses augment infection risk and long-run sequelae. Early and targeted interventions, antimicrobial treatment, cytokine modulation, immune resuscitation, metabolic strengthening, and structured rehabilitation trajecyoried, are influential to improve outcomes. Financial and infrastructural restrictions in low-resource environments constitute thorough impediments to sustainable sepsis management. Precision medicine, AI-driven early warning systems, and enhanced referral networks can improve early identification and personalised therapeutic modalities. The promoting of public and professional sepsis awareness, undergirding multidisciplinary post-sepsis care, and integrating long-run follow-up programmes are imperative to mitigate mortality and elevating quality of life in sepsis survivors.[13]

Sepsis is a multifarious and potentially life-threatening clinical syndrome emerging from a dysregulated host response to infection, characterised by a complex interplay of inflammation, hypoxia, immune activation, and

metabolic reprogramming.[14] These systemic distortions culminate in profound multisystem dysfunctions, encompassing significant metabolic impairment impacting corporeal resistance and tolerance modalities.[15,16] Physiological response processes focus on pathogen eradication, usually by means of pathways, for instance, aerobic glycolysis, the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), the activation of inflammatory cytokines, complement system activation, and the employment of antimicrobial peptides, which collectively augment the capability of the immune system to eliminate and degrade encroaching pathogens[17] The exact organisation of the adaptive responses is pertinentfor homeostasis restoration, but this balanc is adversely inhibited during septic anomalous events.[15,16] At sepsis, mitochondrial impairment, energy paucity, and decreased ATP/ADP ratios exacerbate clinical prognosis.[18] These metabolic disruptions not merely aggravate organ derangement but also degrade immune competence, susceptible to immunosuppression and a cycle of tissue deterioration.[15,16] Metabolic and cellular degradation triggered by sepsis propel the body into a condition of expansive injury, that is fatal in a broad percentage of patients, with approximate mortality rates of 38%.[19] For survivors of the acute phase, syndrome is still not amenable to treatment. These patients contend with issues of recovery from the incipient event and the long-run sequelae connected with post-sepsis syndrome (PSS), a state that is under-studied but adversely affects the health and quality of life of survivors.[20]

The increasing global incidence of sepsis resulted in an increasing population burdened by PSS consequences, with the necessities to deal with both the acute sepsis management and the chronic implications encountred by survivors, such as physical, cognitive, psychological, and medical impairments resulting in diminished quality of life and life expectancy.[21] Survivors are at elevated rehospitalization risk, recurrent infections, and chronic diseases, with numerous patients incapable of returning to their erstwhile levels of activity or employment.[22] Addressing PSS demands a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach to mitigate its lasting impacts.[20] Early recognition and intervention remain paramount in sepsis management. Strategies such as timely antibiotic administration, appropriate supportive care, and public health measures—including increased vaccination coverage, improved hygiene practices, and judicious antibiotic use—are critical to preventing recurrent infections. For survivors, managing cardiovascular risks through pharmacological treatments, rehabilitation programs, nutritional support, and lifestyle modifications is essential for improving long-term outcomes.[23] This circumstance underscores the pertinence for the enactment of principles for sustainability and healthcare[24,25] for trajectories to diminish mortality rates in the acute phase, and advance long-run care for sepsis survivors, thus minimizing PSS effect on quality of life.[26] Emphasis on the psychological, emotional, and cognitive sequelae of PSS is relevant and depends on well-structured supportive programmes designed to promote the welfare and well-being of survivors. An individualised patient-centered aspect grants the latitude to offer an encompassing post-sepsis care. Further research is urgently required to tailor and refine strategies in order to transform the long-term management of sepsis survivors for a beneficial progress regarding quality of life.

Hospital management

Hospital Sepsis Program Core Elements are critical for optimization of patient care and assistance to clinicians, hospitals, and health systems in undergirding hospital management and sepsis outcomes. The programme outlines structural and procedural ingredients which correlate with the interdisciplinary professionalism pertinent for supportive patient care concerning sepsis. Critically ill status in a medical context stipulates excruciating threat to life, necessitating incessant, professional medical intervention. This inculcates in-depth physiological disequilibrium, wherein a single or multiple vital organ systems, such as respiratory, circulatory, renal, or neurological are degrading or at immediate risk of dysfunctionality. Usual instances encompass adverse sepsis, gross trauma, acute respiratory failure, and cardiac arrest. Patients presenting this condition require proper monitoring, evaluation and life-sustaining interventions for the mitigation and prevention of further degradation, in order to obviate further anomaly as the condition is frequently susceptible to an elevated risk of morbidity and mortality in the absence immediate care. Healthcare specialists offer assessment and evaluation of critical illnesses through vital signs, organ functionality tests, neurological scales of the Glasgow Coma Scale variety, and the APACHE II or SOFA scoring systems for the quantification of severity and predictive outcomes.[27] With the numerous advances In the spheres of hospital medicine, digital tools are evolving in the challenges against sepsis with cutting-edge technologies which rationalise workflows, enhance patient care, and foster hospitalists to provide prompt interventions.[28]

Intensive care units

Intensive care units (ICUs) constitute critical pivotal structures of contemporary healthcare incorporating sophisticated technologies and specialized care to undergird organ functionalities in critically ill subjects. The recent COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2 pandemic[29-31] represented not merely as a stress or resilience test[32,33] but as a stimulus for future progressive ICU digitalization. Evolved technologies and mechanisms[34] depict a transformative trajectory for digitalization to enhance ICU performance, optimization for resource utilization, and improvement of patient outcomes. Digital tools, especially machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) have the potential to undergird ICU care through advancing real-time monitoring and evaluation, predictive analytics, and semiautomated decision-making processes. ML models

facilitate and outperform conventional scoring systems in predicting patient outcomes regarding mortality, ICU period of stay, and readmission risks. Digitalization of nursing documentation and resource allocation mechanisms ostensibly improve efficiency, minimise errors, and potentiate optimal staff time for direct patient care. Newfangled measures in infection control are rapidly leveraging AI to predict ventilator-related pneumonia and sepsis, enabling earlier interventions and impetus in antimicrobial stewardship. Closed-loop ventilation systems depict a shift toward smart, data-responsive care platforms which are liable to facilitate patient safety and therapeutic precision through stringent and adaptive decision-making into medical technological devices. The COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2 pandemic posited the increasing resilience[32,33] and relevance of ICU digitalization, the accelerated development of equipments including remote monitoring, tele-ICU models, and wearable devices.[34] The progress realised facilitated expansive patient capacity and space with further potential for AI-heightened components in streamlining ICU workflows and improve patient care. This reflects the perspectives of a more extensive paradigm shift in critical care targeting increasingly proactive, algorithm-assisted medicine positioning AI in complementary clinical judgment in the management of the intricately complex ICU ambient. Furthermore, individualised digital recovery conduits are being explored to undergird post-ICU rehabilitation, but formidable challenges impede tackling the physical and psychological recovery requirements of patients.[35]

Artificial intelligence

In hospital settings, sepsis is one of the forefront aetiologies of mortality, and prompt diagnosis is critical for the improvement of clinical outcomes[36], and to preclude poor prognosis. Artificial intelligence (AI) emerges as a valuable resource to tackle this issue, with several investigations engaging its usage to promptly predict and diagnose sepsis, including personalizing its therapy. Artificial intelligence (AI)–based clinical decision support systems (CDSS) have been created for numerous disorders, but irrespective of the opportunities to enhance the quality of care and favourably influence patient-pertinent outcomes, a vast proportion of AI-based CDSS suffer adoption into standard care due to inter alia impediments for the execution and a nonuser-oriented development strategy, with resultant mitigated user acceptance.[37]

Machine learning

Machine learning (ML) models can apply collated clinical data from hospital Electronic Health Records or uninterrupted monitoring to predict patients at sepsis risk hours before symptom onset. This is critical since sepsis constitutes a life-threatening state due to dysregulated immune response of the body to infection, with resultant organ dysfunction, septic shock, and mortality. As a medical emergency, Sepsis necessitates prompt diagnosis and accelerated intervention as a medical emergency for the prevention of untoward sequelae and diminished mortality.[38] Studies have attempted to report quality improvement efforts for integration of deep learning sepsis authentication and management forum, Sepsis Watch, into regularised clinical care. Machine learning models are routinely formed to improve clinical decision making, but there are paucity of effective and sustainable integrations of machine learning into regular clinical care. There is no comprehensive guide for the integration of deep learning into clinical care, lessons from Sepsis Watch integration can help to promote or nurture machine learning technologies within extraneous healthcare delivery systems[39], especially in societies with inequitable distribution of resources.[40-42]

Integration of Technology (AI and Digital Tools).

Sepsis Detection & Management: Hours before clinical symptoms, AI-driven platforms, such as Sepsis Watch, InSight, and TREWS employ machine learning for the analyses of electronic health records (EHRs) and vital signs, to predict sepsis. These tools can enhance compliance with therapy guidelines.[36] Advanced diagnostics is associated with Next-generation metagenomics (mNGS) and host transcriptomic profiling which are integrated for the differentiation of sepsis from other inflammatory states. Central nervous system (CNS) infections exert a significant global burden of morbidity and mortality, demanding precise and prompt diagnosis for quality clinical management. Metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) depicts to be valuable for pathogen identification in suspected CNS infections. Metagenomic NGS testing accelerates CNS infection diagnosis and aids in evidence-based clinical management decisions.[43] Central nervous system (CNS) infections exert a significant global burden of morbidity and mortality, demanding precise and prompt diagnosis for quality clinical management. Metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) depicts to be valuable for pathogen identification in suspected CNS infections. Metagenomic NGS testing accelerates CNS infection diagnosis and aids in evidence-based clinical management decisions.[43]

AI-Guided Treatment are reinforcement learning models, for instance, the "AI Clinician," approve optimal fluid and vasopressor dosing, depicting potential to minimize in-hospital mortality.[44] Wearable sensors and portable hemodynamic monitors provide for continuous assessment, essential in the case of trauma subjects and persons septic shock risk.[45,46] Specialized management of complex patients[47] involves targeted, interdisciplinary care designated for individuals with chronic numerous, outstanding functional debilities, or high-risk social determinants of health (SDOH). This strategy tends to enhance health outcomes, improve quality of life, and decrease costly utilization, for instance, hospital readmissions through tailored care plans usually provided in the home or specialized ambulatory settings.[48] Sepsis Subphenotyping rendered via machine learning is applied

for the identification of precise sepsis subphenotypes, such as α, β, γ, δ, permitting for tailored therapies according to the precise immune response and clinical features of a patient, and not a one-size-fits-all strategy.[49] Trauma-Informed Care for specialized trauma centres employ interdisciplinary teams which cater for intricate cases by accelerated assessment and integrated, multi-modal therapy, usually with emphasis on comorbid mental health requirements via trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (TF-CBT).[50]

Multidisciplinary strategy constitutes sustainable management that necessitates cooperation among intensivists, microbiologists, infectious disease specialists, and nurses for the management of complex comorbidities in extremely ill trauma and sepsis subjects.[51] Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC): These guidelines focus on "hour-one" bundles, and early lactate volume, blood culture collection, broad-spectrum antibiotics, and rapid fluid resuscitation to mitigate mortality increase.[52] Tailored care trajectories emphasise trauma-informed evaluation, accelerated resuscitation, and handling both acute physical injuries and correlated PTSD, employing protocols, for instance, Cognitive Processing Therapy (CPT).[53]

The implementation of bundles for the prevention of ventilator-related pneumonia or central line-related bloodstream infections, profoundly mitigates healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) in septic persons. These improvements are significantly undergirded by the FDA-cleared AI tools, such as Prenosis Sepsis ImmunoScore which incorporate molecular biomarkers and clinical data sepsis prediction. Sepsis management in the emergency department (ED) encompasses a complex interaction of tasks, personnel, tools, technology, environment, and organisational parametres.[54] In certain instances, the application of Systems Engineering Initiative for Patient Safety (SEIPS) model for the decomposition and visualisation of specific parametres in sepsis diagnosis and therapy in the ED may demand extensive human resources incorporating intricate and cumbersome decision-making, and expansive knowledge. Furthermore, technologies with proper application are identifiable in critical support for clinicians evaluated assessed tasks. The organizational essence exposes training and education as significant factors in sepsis care and therapy modalities.[55]

The guidelines approve the fast authentication of sepsis patients and prompt commencement of the Hour-1 Bundle therapy to mitigate mortality due to sepsis. Emergency nurses are involved in a prominent role in the incipient sepsis screening. Research depict that mind mapping and In-Situ Simulation (ISS) training do not merely assist healthcare specialists in strengthening theoretical information retention but also improve skills in integration, task management, and correspondence in simulation exercises. This, sequentially advances the effective execution of diverse therapies during resuscitation. The combined theoretical and practical training procedures is more effective than a sole training strategy.[56] The worldwide adoption of conventional sepsis protocols has been a pivotal approach in enhancing outcomes for patients with ostensible or perspicuous sepsis. Initiatives such as early warning systems, sepsis bundles, and time-based therapy targets have been tailored to facilitate fast identification, early antimicrobial treatment, and prompt haemodynamic support. These protocolised strategies have contributed to notable decrements in sepsis associated mortality with enhanced reliability of care encompassing varied healthcare settings. Concomitantly with these benefits, an inadvertent and increasingly identified implication has emerged, namely protocol fatigue within healthcare personnel.

Code sepsis

This phenomenon, "code sepsis" fatigue[57,58], presents as clinicians are iteratively required to activate relentless time sensitive protocols which may not wholesomely comply with the clinical complexity of personalised subjects. In highly sensitive ambients such as emergency wards and intensive care units, several protocol activations can compliment cognitive overload, workflow distortion, and an ostensible excoriation of specialist autonomy. In the long run, this may culminate in desensitization, diminished engagement with protocol alerts, and increasing tension between care pathways and bedside clinical judgment. In certain instances, unyielding protocols may induce inept investigations, premature antimicrobial vulnerability, or unsuitable escalation of care, highlighting concerns on patient safety, antimicrobial stewardship, and clinician fatigue.

There are numerous pivotal spheres in which standardized sepsis protocols can diverge with personalised patient care, such as dependency on varied screening criteria, rigid time thresholds for intervention, and restricted space for diagnostic unpredictability. It becomes pertinent to take into cognizance the ethical and professional protocol driven practice, especially when clinicians irresistibly prioritize checklist fulfillment more than pertinent clinical assessment.[58] In response to these issues, it is better to balance evidence based standardization with clinical expertise involving adaptive protocol frameworks which grant clinician discretion, tiered response systems based on diagnostic capability, and improved education emphasising clinical thinking within protocol care. Incorporation of feedback from frontline clinicians and leveraging data driven enhancements to sepsis trajectories could significantly improve usage and effectiveness.

Protocols

Optimal sepsis care is dependent not merely on rigid protocol compulsion, but on a nuanced execution that undergirds clinical decision while sustaining the benefits of early conventional intervention. Through alignment of protocol design with real world clinical intricacy, healthcare systems can minimise protocol fatigue, promote clinician engagement, and sustain high quality

patient outcomes in sepsis management.[58] The ingredients of the 6 protocol for sepsis include blood cultures, checking full blood count and lactate, IV fluid challenge, IV antibiotics, monitoring urine output and giving oxygen.[59] Input of a care bundle with an educational pursuit culminates in a significant advancement in the incipient management of septic subjects.

The Sepsis Protocol

Hospitals need to establish, monitor, review and update as convenient a sepsis protocol following extant evidence.[60] The objectives as established protocol(s) by hospitals (a) aid in fast patient identification with severe sepsis and septic shock; (b) specification of a strategy to stratify patients into sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock using convenient clinical and laboratory results; and (c) specification of therapy strategies. The inclusion and exclusion criteria indicate that protocols must include modalities for rapid identification of subjects suitable for therapy. Protocols can be specifically designed to populations inter alia newborns and infants in NICU, and pregnant women. Protocols need to incorporate clear criteria specifying subjects presenting certain clinical conditions or the ones with select palliative care to be extricated from protocols. A fundamental framework incorporating both adult and pediatric protocols must emphasis: (i) the physiological dimensions to be employed to direct resuscitation interventions; (ii) the time frame objectives for interventions, for instance, fluid dispensation; (iii) necessity to acquire blood cultures and cultures from authenticated infection sources preceding antibiotic dispensation; (iv) the objective for timely dispensation of broad spectrum antibiotics; (v) guidelines or standards for on-going therapy or relocating to more intensive care level.[60]

The adult protocol posits minimum prerequisites that protocols for adult patients must incorporate cognizance of these components, depending on evidence-based requirements, and target timeframes for essential interventions: (a) blood lactate level measurement; (b) blood culture collection; (c) broad-spectrum antibiotic dispensation; (d) fluid dispensation; (e) fluid status evaluation; (f) vasopressors and requantification of lactate for eligible subjects.[61]

Paediatric protocol have minimum necessities that protocols for paediatric patients must take into cognizance these ingredients based on evidence-based directives: (i) collection of blood culture; (ii) antibiotic dispensation; (iii) fluid dispensation and treatment endpoints.[62]

Extensive integration management and care in complex sepsis patients

For this work, studies were identified with relevance to the topic per methodology, sample selection and magnitude, and clinical specifications. Other studies have incorporated early sepsis detection, fluid resuscitation, antimicrobial treatment, haemodynamic supportive measures, corticosteroid application, immunomodulation, artificial intelligence (AI) strategies, and postsepsis care. Extant evidence strengthens the execution of electronic early warning systems to implement timely interventions, the adoption of increased restrictive fluid modalities with identical safety profiles between crystalloid solutions, use of rapid diagnostics and biomarkers for antimicrobial target and therapy, optimal hemodynamic support considering nascent vasopressors and perfusion targets, ample employment of corticosteroids in certain patients with septic shock, continuous evaluation of immunomodulatory therapies, usage of AI for phenotype authentication and decision support, and structured postsepsis rehabilitation programmes to for the improvement of long-run outcomes, and prognosis as well as predilection on precision medicine strategies, nascent therapeutic targets, and implementation modalities for resource-restricted settings. The integration of clinical trials with translational studies and data analytics provides the latitude in ameliorating the global burden of sepsis[63] Sepsis is one of the disorders whose existence is a daunting task in treatment management for contemporary medicine[64,65], characteristically depicted by dysregulated host response to infection resulting in life-threatening organ dysfunction globally[66], with circa 48.9 million cases and 11 million sepsis-associated mortality yearly.[8] Whereas multidunous sepsis studies address adult populations in resource-rich settings, the global burden of sepsis inequitably disrupts the health systems of vulnerable populations, especially in low- and middle-income countries, where implementation of evidence-based interventions are significantly inhibited.[67,68] This review addresses both the clinical evidence and practical considerations for implementing these advances across diverse healthcare settings.

DISCUSSION

This narrative review aggregates the extant positioning of sepsis and septic shock burden in intensive care units (ICUs) globally. The global burden of sepsis in both the developed and developing world is expansive, being more than 48 million cases annually, with mortality rates at the ICU level within the range of 30 to 50%, with reference to geography, resources and geopolitical stance.[69] Sepsis and septic shock incessantly pursue the trajectory as formidable issues in intensive care medicine, with acute medical repercussions for the identified patient, furthermore as the expansive protracted health burdens which portray societal and economic impacts globally. Despite several decades of research and progress in early detection, antimicrobial therapeutic measures, haemodynamic support and control, as well as organ-protective approaches, mortality continues unabated from the disease, and survival is encumbered by persistent morbidity and comorbidities. The pathophysiologic cryptic sepsis characteristic presentation, encompasses blended cross-talk between profuse inflammation, immune paralysis, microvascular dysfunction, and anomalous

metabolic features, constituting an equally ubiquitous and predominantly formidable foe that is irresistible to vanquish employing off-the-shelf therapeutic instruments. Superimposed to these challenges is the spatiotemporal variations in patients, infections[70], comorbidities[71], and geopolitical[72] contexts, with special relevance to the latter among high, lower and upper LMICs.

The pathophysiologic mechanisms[73] progression from initial hyper-inflammatory condition to immune paralysis underlies organ dysfunction and confounds therapeutic targeting. Diagnostic modalities, clinical scoring systems, biomarkers, such as procalcitonin and MR-proADM, and novel AI tools present advanced prompt identification but encounter challenges in reliability and accessibility. Management in the ICU is entrenched in prompt antimicrobial dispensation, haemodynamic stability, balanced fluids and vasopressors, source regulation, and organ undergirding, lung-protective ventilation and renal surrogate therapy. Nascent adjuncts of the immunomodulators and extracorporeal therapeutic varieties indicate reliability but necessitate further assessment and authentication. Survivors encountre profound protracted complications, viz: post-intensive care syndrome (PICS), embracing physical, cognitive, and psychological dysfunctionalities, necessitating structured rehabilitation and follow-up. In the present and future, sepsis care relies on integrating precision medicine via molecular diagnostics, personalised immunotherapy, and AI-undergirded monitoring accompanied by flexible, equitable implementation approaches which bridge the lacuna between high- and low-income entities. Exploring differences and extending rehabilitation services are crucial for the advancement of survival and prolonged quality of life in survivors of sepsis.[74]

Worldwide estimates according to the 2017 Global Burden of Disease (GBD) enumeration indicate circa 48.9 million incident sepsis cases and 11 million sepsis-correlated mortalities yearly, representing almost 20% of all global mortality.[1,8,75] Although, age-standardized sepsis incidence and correlated mortality succumbed between 1990 and 2017 by 37% and 53%, respectively, these advances are inequitably distributed, with sepsis continued to disproportionately impact low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), under-five children, marginalized[76] and other vulnerable populations. Evaluation and examination of ICU-specific populations, the burden exacerbates intensively. Global conscience and interests focus on the sustainable development and progress of the quality of life, welfare and well-being of vulnerable populations and low-income countries.[77] Understanding human disease mechanisms, requires explicating the interactions which culminate in disease, and in health[78] because these are crucial to manage the underlying aetiologies and consequences of disease in humans[79,80] as elucidated for sepsis and complex patients in this review. Disease management for sepsis necessitates early, coordinated intervention. It indicates that the "hour-1 bundle" monitoring lactate, drawing cultures, commencing broad-spectrum antibiotics, and providing fluids.[81]

CONCLUSION

This submission presents a compelling examination of the intricate interplay between sepsis and the pathophysiological mechanisms of disease. The work delves into the metabolic disruptions exacerbated due to non-adherence to protocols, neglecting either the bidirectional or multidisciplinary relationships which exacerbate comorbid conditions within affected individuals. Its focus on specialised interactions and technology-driven solutions provides a valuable lens through which the overarching impact of sepsis on public health can be assessed. Sepsis in diagnosis, management, and technological advances as both a clinical entity and a healthcare albatross, maintains its stance to confront medical practitioners globally. This study delineates extant modalities in sepsis management, with particular emphasis on the intricate complexities of diagnosis and treatment, while incorporating technological advancements in critical care. The discourse on global health challenges, issues, opportunities and priorities, antimicrobial resistance, and the enhanced role of AI in clinical outcomes formulate the trajectory for dealing with sepsis and identical disorders. Sepsis is the leading aetiology of mortality globally. There has been improved mortality in recent erstwhile few decades but maintains elevated, and survivors ubiquitously present long-range sequelae. Incipient diagnostic evaluation fixates on risk stratification, source and pathogen authentication. Therapy involves intravenous fluids, vasopressors, steroids if shock is presented, antimicrobial treatment detecting the very probable source of infection and control. Patients having shock or high-risk organ failure syndromes need to be afforded prompt admission into an intensive care unit, ICU. Following initial antimicrobials and resuscitation, emphasis on care must include antimicrobial deescalation, volume management, and highly qualitative undergirded care. Shared decision making regarding objectives of care and transitions is essential to strengthen survivors following discharge. Sepsis encompasses a magnitude of clinical circumstances due to systemic response to an infection and affects a vast proportion of people worldwide. The mortality rate is regulated by the early authentication, therapy and management of patients with sepsis. Certain hospitals have inaugurated evidence-based strategies to facilitate prompt establishment of patient management presenting sepsis as part of the initiative to diminish sepsis mortality. Feasible integration of technology, professional management of intricate patients, and evidence-based protocols for sepsis and trauma posits a stringent shift focused on precision critical care, targeted to mitigate mortality through rapid and prompt detection and tailored interventions. In all intents and purposes, the extant evolutions and contemporary advancements underscore potential transformative role of digitalization in promoting

ICU care quality and safety parametres and attributes, facilitating and improving resource utilization, undergirding sustainable patient outcomes, and interact in the confluence of evolutionary expectations of patients and those in care.

REFERENCES

1. Fleischmann-Struzek C, Mellhammar L, Rose N, et al. Incidence and mortality of hospital- and ICU-treated sepsis: Results from an updated and expanded systematic review and meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med., 2020; 46: 1552–1562. doi: 10.1007/s00134-020-06151-x. Hi
2. Vicentini RB, Kuczewski E, C et al. Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii infections in intensive care units: incidence, infection prevention and control, and antimicrobial stewardship practices in the cross-border region between Italy and France, 2019-2022. Journal of Hospital Infection, 2026; 170: 174-1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2026.01.019.
3. Jalal SM, Jalal SH, Alabdullatif AA et al. Evaluating Sepsis Management and Patient Outcomes: A Comprehensive Retrospective Study of Clinical and Treatment Data. J. Clin. Med., 2025; 14(10): 3555. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14103555.
4. Sousa EJ, Virissimo M de S., Viveiros, A. M, Alves A & Gonçalves V. Evidence-Based Interventions for Sepsis Management in Critically Ill Adults: A Systematic Review. European Journal of Medical and Health Research, 2025; 3(6): 232-238. https://doi.org/10.59324/ejmhr.2025.3(6).35.
5. Pisano A, Venditto M, Palmieri C, Landoni G. Novel therapies and interventions in sepsis and septic shock. BJA Educ., 2025; 25(5): 206–217. doi:10.1016/j.bjae.2025.01.003.
6. Rababa M, Mahmoud O, Hayajneh A, Alharbi TAF. Critical care nurses' knowledge, confidence, and clinical reasoning in sepsis management: a systematic review. BMC Nurs., 2025; 24(1): 29. doi:10.1186/s12912- 025-02986-1.
7. Fleischmann-Struzek C, Rudd K. Challenges of assessing the burden of sepsis. Med Klin Intensivmed Notfmed, 2023; 118(2): 68-74. doi: 10.1007/s00063-023-01088-7.
8. Rudd KE, Johnson SC, Agesa KM, Shackelford KA, Tsoi D, Kievlan DR, Colombara DV, Ikuta KS, Kissoon N, Finfer S, Fleischmann-Struzek C, Machado FR, Reinhart KK, Rowan K, Seymour CW, Watson RS, West TE, Marinho F, Hay SI, Lozano R, Lopez AD, Angus DC, Murray CJL, Naghavi M. Global, regional, and national sepsis incidence and mortality, 1990-2017: analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet, 2020; 395(10219): 200-211. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32989-7.
9. Oami T, Abe T, Nakada T et al. Association between hospital spending and in-hospital mortality of patients with sepsis based on a Japanese nationwide medical claims database study. Heliyon, 2024; 10(1): e23480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e23480.
10. Chukwuma Sr C. Vulnerabilities in Environment and Health Due to Climate Change and Extreme Hydrological Events: Determinants for Risk Reduction. Journal of Weather Changes, 2025; 1(2): 1-10.
11. Chukwuma Sr C. Reflections and Perspectives on the Determinants for Risk Reduction in Biodiversity, Environment and Health Vulnerabilities Due To Climate Change and Extreme Hydrological Events: An Ecological Framework. Greenfort International Journal of Applied Medical Science, 2025; 3(3): 134-140. DOI: 10.62046/gijams.2025.v03i03.006.
12. Arefian H, Heublein S, Scherag A, Brunkhorst FM, Younis MZ, Moerer O, Fischer D, Hartmann M. Hospital-related cost of sepsis: A systematic review. J Infect, 2017; 74(2): 107-117. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2016.11.006.
13. Torres JSS, Tamayo-Giraldo FJ, Bejarano-Zuleta A et al. Sepsis and post-sepsis syndrome: a multisystem challenge requiring comprehensive care and management—a review. Sec. Intensive Care Medicine and Anesthesiology. Front. Med., 2025; 12: 2025. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2025.1560737.
14. VanderhaeghenT, Vandewalle J and LibertC. Hypoxia-inducible factors in metabolic reprogramming during sepsis. FEBS J., 2020; 287: 1478–95. doi: 10.1111/febs.15222.
15. Piedmont S, Goldhahn L, Swart E et al. Sepsis incidence, suspicion, prediction and mortality in emergency services: a cohort study related to the current international sepsis guideline. Infection, 2024; 52: 1325–35. doi: 10.1007/s15010-024-02181-5.
16. Martin-Loeches I, Singer M & Leone M. Sepsis: key insights, future directions, and immediate goals. A review and expert opinion. Intensive Care Med., 2024; 50: 2043–9. doi: 10.1007/s00134-024-07694-z.
17. Alexander JW & Meakins JL. Natural defense mechanisms in clinical sepsis. J Surg Res., 1971; 11: 148–61. doi: 10.1016/0022-4804(71)90040-0.
18. De Wit-Verheggen VH, Schrauwen-Hinderling VB, Brouwers K et al. PCr/ATP ratios and mitochondrial function in the heart. A comparative study in humans. Sci Rep., 2023; 13: 8346. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-35041-7.
19. Vincent J-L, Jones G, David S et al. Frequency and mortality of septic shock in Europe and North America: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care, 2019; 23: 196. doi: 10.1186/s13054-019-2478-6.
20. Van der Slikke EC, Beumeler LFE, Holmqvist M et al. Understanding post-sepsis syndrome: how can clinicians help?Infect Drug Resist, 2023; 16: 6493–511. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S390947.
21. Huang CY, Daniels R, Lembo A et al. Life after sepsis: an international survey of survivors to understand the post-sepsis syndrome. Int J Qual Health Care, 2019; 31: 191–8. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzy137.
22. Mostel Z, Perl A, Marck M et al. Post-sepsis syndrom is an evolving entity that afflicts survivors of sepsis. Mol Med., 2020; 26: 6. doi: 10.1186/s10020-019-0132-z.

23. Leviner S. Post-sepsis syndrome. Crit Care Nurs Q., 2021; 44: 182–6. doi: 10.1097/CNQ.0000000000000352.
24. Chukwuma Sr C. Undergirding environmental legislation and citizen participation in developing countries. Int J Env Edu & Inf., 1996; 15(3): 319-330.
25. Chukwuma Sr C & Bjurulf P. A case study of the information-base needed for effective evaluation of primary healthcare programmes in Anambra State, Nigeria. Int J Hlth Sc., 1990; 1(2): 137-148.
26. Ferrer R, Martin-Loeches I, Phillips G et al. Empiric antibiotic treatment reduces mortality in severe sepsis and septic shock from the first hour: results from a guideline-based performance improvement program. Crit Care Med., 2014; 42: 1749–55. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000000330.
27. Sridha U. AI in healthcare: Revolutionizing medical diagnosis. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025; 26(01): 3229-3238. DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.26.1.1385.
28. Patel M Digital Tools: The New Frontline Against Sepsis. The Hospitalist. 2024. https://www.the-hospitalist.org/hospitalist/article/37676/technology/digital-tools-the-new-frontline-against-sepsis/.
29. Chukwuma Sr C. Addressing Correlated EffectiveLeadership, Governance, AndResilience Strategies In Health Systems During The Sars-Cov-2/Covid-19 Pandemic Era For The Present And Future. World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Research, 2026; 3(2): 36-43. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19282339.
30. Chukwuma Sr C. Gain-Of-Function Research and Geopolitics in the Emergence and Reemergence of Infectious Diseases and Microbiome Variants. International Journal of Chemical and Life Sciences, 2021; 10(8): 2197-2205. DOI: 10.21746/ijcls.2021.10.8.2.
31. Chukwuma Sr C. Insights for Clinical Interventions and Public Health Strategies in Obesity and Diabetes Associated with the COVID-19. World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Research, 2025; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17909209.
32. Chukwuma Sr C. The Essence of Reflectionsand Perspectives of Effective Leadership and Resilience Within Health Systems During the SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 Pandemic. J Community Med Health Solut, 2026; 7(1): 026-032. https://dx.doi.org/10.29328/journal.jcmhs.1001069.
33. Chukwuma Sr C. Insights and hallmarks of leadership effectiveness during the SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 and post-pandemic era. Journal of Community Medicine and Health Solutions, 2026; 7(1): 026-032.
34. Chukwuma Sr C. Perspectives on the Role of Bioengineeringfor Sustainable Development in the Health ofWomen. Mathews J Gynecol Obstet, 2026; 10(1): 51. DOI: 10.30654/MJGO.10051.
35. Vernic C, Tamas TP, Petre I & Ursoniu S. Transforming critical care: the digital revolution's impact on intensive care units. Front Digit Health, 2025; 7: 1664382. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2025.1664382.
36. Bignami EG, Berdini M, Panizzi M et al. Artificial Intelligence in Sepsis Management: An Overview for Clinicians. J. Clin. Med., 2025; 14(1): 286. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14010286.
37. Raszke P, Giebel GD, Abels C et al. User-Oriented Requirements for Artificial Intelligence–Based Clinical Decision Support Systems in Sepsis: Protocol for a Multimethod Research Project. JMIR Res Protoc, 2025; 14: e62704. doi:10.2196/62704.
38. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3) JAMA., 2016; 315: 801–810. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287.
39. Sendak MP, Ratlif W, Sarro D et al. Real-World Integration of a Sepsis Deep Learning Technology Into Routine Clinical Care: Implementation Study. JMIR Medical Informatics, 2020; 8(7): e15182. doi: 10.2196/15182.
40. Chukwuma Sr C. Latent constraints for improved environmental health management in non-industrialised countries. Env Manage & Hlth, 1995; 6(4): 9-14. https://doi.org/10.1108/09566169510091912.
41. Chukwuma Sr C. Environmental, developmental and health perspectives in Egypt. Env Manage & Hlth., 1995; 6(1): 38-46. https://doi.org/10.1108/09566169510078458.
42. Chukwuma Sr C. Social welfare and population dynamics in Egypt with particular referencep to the status of women. Int J Env Edu & Inf., 1995; 14(1): 45-62.
43. Liu J, Dong Y, Huang Y et al. Clinical metagenomic next-generation sequencing test for diagnosis of central nervous system infections in ICU: a multicenter retrospective study. International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2025; 161: 108094. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2025.108094.
44. Papareddy P, Lobo TJ, Michal Holub et al. Transforming sepsis management: AI-driven innovations in early detection and tailored therapies. Crit Care, 2025; 29: 366. doi: 10.1186/s13054-025-05588-0.
45. Chukwuma Sr C. Perspectives on the Role of Bioengineering for Sustainable Development in the Health of Women. Mathews Journal Gynecol Obstet, 2026; 10(1): 51. DOI: 10.30654/MJGO.10051.
46. Gathright R, Mejia I, Gonzalez JM et al. Overview of Wearable Healthcare Devices for Clinical Decision Support in the Prehospital Setting. Sensors (Basel). 2024; 24(24): 8204. doi: 10.3390/s24248204.
47. Džakula A & Vočanec D. Management of complex patients in family medicine. Croat Med J., 2025; 66(1): 77–78. doi: 10.3325/cmj.2025.66.77.
48. Kyriazopoulou E, Karakike E & Myrianthefs P. Artificial Intelligence- and Machine Learning-Assisted Subphenotyping for Personalized Immunotherapy in

Sepsis. Editor: Thorsten Kaiser. J Pers Med., 2026; 16(1): 28. doi: 10.3390/jpm16010028.
49. Philippine V, Andréa S, Sébastien F & Florence A. Efficacy of Trauma-Focused-Cognitive Behavioral Therapy on Comorbid Symptoms in Preschool Children: A Systematic Literature Review. European Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, 2026; 10(1): 100641. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejtd.2026.100641.
50. Ding L & F Gao. Effectiveness of a multidisciplinary collaborative management model in the control of hospital-acquired infections caused by multidrug-resistant organisms. Medicine (Baltimore), 2025; 104(46): e45345. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000045345.
51. Townsend S, Phillips GS, Duseja R et al. Effects of Compliance With the Early Management Bundle (SEP-1) on Mortality Changes Among Medicare Beneficiaries With Sepsis: A Propensity Score Matched Cohort Study. Chest, 2021; 161(62). DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2021.07.2167.
52. Larraga-García B, Quintana-Díaz M & Gutiérrez A. The Need for Trauma Management Training and Evaluation on a Prehospital Setting. Int J Environ Res Public Health. Editor: Paul B Tchounwou, 2022; 19(20): 13188. doi: 10.3390/ijerph192013188.
53. Mastrogianni M, Katsoulas T, Galanis P, Korompeli A & Myrianthefs P. The Impact of Care Bundles on Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) Prevention in Adult ICUs: A Systematic Review. Antibiotics (Basel). Editor: Demosthènes A Makris, 2023; 12(2): 227. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics12020227.
54. Barbieri DF, Srinivasan D, Ulrich J et al. Systems-based framework for clinical decision-support system integration for patient sepsis management: A theoretical application of the SEIPS model. Human Factors in Healthcare, 2025; 7(100098). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hfh.2025.100098.
55. Zhao L, Wu C, Su J et al. Integration of mind mapping and In-Situ Simulation training to enhance the implementation of sepsis Hour-1 Bundle treatment. BMC Med Educ., 2025; 25: 331. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-06918-0.
56. Dian R & Faradita AD.One-hour sepsis bundle in patients with sepsis shock: A case report of nursing care implementation. The Journal of Palembang Nursing Studies, 2024; 3(2): 109-116. DOI:10.55048/jpns122.
57. Watson, A. L., Bond, C., & Jackson, D. Resuscitating 'Code Sepsis' in the nursing workforce. Contemporary Nurse, 2026 ; 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1080/10376178.2026.2625567
58. Ogbonna N. The "Code Sepsis" Fatigue: When Protocols Collide with Clinical Judgment. Global RPh., 2025. https://globalrph.com/2025/12/the-code-sepsis-fatigue-when-protocols-collide-with-clinical-judgment/.
59. McGregor C. Improving time to antibiotics and implementing the "Sepsis 6". BMJ Qual Improv Rep., 2014; 2(2): u202548.w1443. doi: 10.1136/bmjquality.u202548.w1443.
60. Melville J, Carr T, Goodridge D, Muhajarine N & Groot G. Sepsis screening protocol implementation: a clinician-validated rapid realist review. BMJ Open Qual, 2024; 13(2): e002593. doi: 10.1136/bmjoq-2023-002593.
61. Wang N, Huang T, Hu M et al. Application of the Blood Culture Train model based on procedural and behavioural interventions to improve the quality of blood culture collection. Infect Prev Pract., 2026; 8(1): 100509. doi: 10.1016/j.infpip.2026.100509.
62. Wattal C & Goel N. Pediatric Blood Cultures and Antibiotic Resistance: An Overview. Indian J Pediatr, 2019; 87(2): 125–131. doi: 10.1007/s12098-019-03123-y.
63. Shibu S & Harpreet D. Emerging Paradigms in Sepsis Management: Critical Insights from Contemporary Clinical Trials. APIK Journal of Internal Medicine, 2025; 13(4): 238-242. DOI: 10.4103/ajim.ajim_32_25.
64. Chukwuma Sr C. Setting Out Advances and Trends for Safe Medications Using GLP-1/GLP Receptor, RPCJournal of Medical Sciences. RPC Publishers, 2026; 3(1). DOI: https://www.doi.org/rpc/2026/rpc.jms/00470.
65. Chukwuma Sr C. The Challenges, Issues and Opportunities of Glp-1/Glp Receptor Agonists in Research and Patient Care. J Cur Tre Clin Case Rep., 2025; 6(3): 1-12.
66. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3). JAMA, 2016; 315: 801–10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287.
67. Chukwuma Sr C. The Prevalence of Fissured Peace, Justice and Sustainable Development in Nigeria and other Developing Countries. Greenfort International Journal of Applied Medical Science, 2025. DOI: 10.62046/gijams.2025.v03i04.001.
68. Chukwuma Sr C. Exploring advances in Interdisciplinary Research Explicating Shared Reciprocal Interplay and Intersections Between Ageing, Diabetes, (Re)Emerging Infections, Clinical Implications and Therapeutics. Case Reports International Journal, 2026; 4(1). DOI: 10.61148/3065-6710/CRIJ/034.
69. Chukwuma Sr C. Geopolitics of the nature and crises of the environment, economics and health in a sustainable society for human progress and survival. Journal of Scientific and Innovative Research, 2024; 13(1): 16-21. DOI: 10.31254/jsir.2024.13103.
70. Chukwuma Sr C. Global concerns and affairs in environment and health. Int Jr Infect Dis & Epidemlgy, 2022; 3(4): 1-8. DOI: 10.51626/ijide.2022.03.00029.
71. Chukwuma Sr C. The Persistent Incurable Prevalence and Impact of Sarcopenia, Obesity and Rare Disorders, Including Gene Expression and Drug Response Using Functional Genomics Strategies. Biomedical Journal of

Scientific & Technical Research, 2024; 59(1). DOI: ,10.26717/BJSTR.2024.59.009243.
72. Chukwuma Sr C. Global concerns and affairs in environment and health. Int Jr Infect Dis & Epidemlgy, 2022; 3(4): 1-8. DOI: 10.51626/ijide.2022.03.00029.
73. Chukwuma St C. Pathophysiologic Processes, Correlates and Comorbidities of Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes Evidenced in the SARS-CoV-2/ COVID-19 Pandemic. Mathews Journal of Diabetes & Obesity, 2025; 8(1): 23. DOI: 10.30654/MJDO.10023.
74. Luigi La Via, Antonino Maniaci, Mario Lentini et al. The Burden of Sepsis and Septic Shock in the Intensive Care Unit. J. Clin. Med., 2025; 14(19): 6691; https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14196691].
75. Reinhart, K.; Daniels, R.; Kissoon, N.; Machado, F.R.; Schachter, R.D.; Finfer, S. Recognizing Sepsis as a Global Health Priority—A WHO Resolution. N. Engl. J. Med., 2017; 377: 414–417. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp1707170.
76. Lian Liu, Hong Luo. Burden of sepsis in China: a systematic analysis from 1990 to 2021. Crit Care., 2026; 30: 71. doi: 10.1186/s13054-026-05884-3.
77. Chukwuma Sr C. The Complexity of Communicable and Non-Communicable Diseases in Vulnerable Populations. Advances in Bioengineering and Biomedical Science Research, 2020; 3(1). DOI: 10.33140/ABBSR.03.01.01.
78. Chukwuma Sr C. Geopolitical issues in health and biosecurity concerning gain-of-function research, emergence and re-emergence of infectious diseases. International Journal of Clinical Virology, 2022; 6(1). DOI: 10.29328/journal.ijcv.1001043.
79. Chukwuma Sr C. Bioinformatics-base and Determinants of the Spatiotemporal Variations of Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases. Journal of Ancient Diseases & Preventive Remedies, 2018; 06(02). DOI: 10.4172/2329-8731.1000182.
80. Chukwuma Sr C. Unravelling the intricacies of effective leadership, governance, andresilience within health spheres in the COVID-19 pandemic era for future guidelines. Journal of Modern Medical Research and ClinicalTechniques, 2026; 2(3). dx.doi.org/JMMRCT/PP.0003.
81. Mas-Celis F, Olea-López J, Parroquin-Maldonado JA. Sepsis in Trauma: A Deadly Complication, Archives of Medical Research, 2021; 52(8): 808-816. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcmed.2021.10.007.